

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,802	11/15/2006	Rolf Cremerius	66969-0003	6136	
84362 GKN Drivelin	7590 03/17/2011 e/TTG	EXAMINER			
c/o Kristin L.	Murphy	JENNISON, BRIAN W			
39533 Woodw Bloomfield Hi	ard Avenue, suite 140	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Diocinicia III	110,1111 10001		3742	3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/562,802	CREMERIUS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BRIAN JENNISON	3742	

	BRIAN JENNISON	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance: (2) a NOa a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliand time periods:</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compared to a price of the compared to the compared t	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two mont	he of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>Applicant's amendment changes the scop</u> 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	r of the claim and requires addition	al search and consid	<u>eration.</u> . (See
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		-	_
7. \( \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 2.14.15.18 and 20-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
MARK H PASCHALL/	POINT IENNIOSEU		
PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 3742	/BRIAN JENNISON/ Examiner, Art Unit 3742		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)